

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002

73 (3) W		Washington, D.C. 20231 www.แต่pto.gov			
PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/964,410	09/28/2001	Kanji Kawakami	Q66456	2356	
759	90 12/19/2002				
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER		
2100 Pennsylvan Washington, DC	nia Avenue, NW 20037-3213	WIMER, MICHAEL C			
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2821		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<i>!</i> \					
		Application N .		blicant(s)						
		09/964,410		KAWAKAMI ET AL						
4)	Offic Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Michael C. Wime		2821						
# The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).										
	reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	g date of this communica	tion, even if timely filed.	may reduce any						
1)🔯	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 December 2001</u> .									
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims										
4)	4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.										
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
_ 80 □	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.							
1	tion Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 September 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
1(1) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a mada dika amada a 🔿	: 11.0.0.0.440/=:) (d) == (0						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	0.5.C. § 119(a)-(a) or (t).						
a	All b) Some * c) None of:	- k k	to a said							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
*;	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachmer	nt(s)									
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO						

Application/Control Number: 09/964,410

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent for "the converter" in Claim 4, line 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chardin (3594805).

Regarding Claims 1-7 and 9-15, Chardin shows in Figs 1 and 2, an antenna comprising a converger, including a conductor 2 which converges a magnetic flux of an EM wave, and a converter 3 comprising a cutout, and a resistance reducer 5 provided on a peripheral portion of the conductor 2, all arranged as claimed. IT would have been obvious to the skilled artisan that the annular conductor 2, converter 3, with a cutout and sleeve 5 for reducing resistance, all perform the

_

Application/Control Number: 09/964,410

Art Unit: 2821

functions alleged here. As to Claim 6, it is obvious that the size of the converter is much smaller than the intended frequencies to be received.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chardin as applied to claims above, and further in view of Hadden et al (5223851).

Hadden et al are cited to show that an antenna 10 may be formed on a semiconductor IC 34. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to employ such an antenna as in Chardin along with an IC as taught by Hadden et al.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (703) 305-3555. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don K. Wong can be reached on (703) 308-4856. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2821

MCW 10 December 2002